

Grid Code Workgroup Vote

GC0173: Consistency of Technical and Compliance Requirements between GB and European Users

Please note: To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications (WAGCMs).

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

2a) Assess the Original and WAGCMs (if there are any) against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

Terms used in this document

Term	Meaning
Baseline	The current Grid Code (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made)
Original	The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification
WAGCM	Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup)

For reference the Applicable Grid Code Objectives are:

- To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission



Public

system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);

- iii. Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;*
- iv. To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this licence* and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and*
- v. To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements*

** See Electricity System Operator Licence*

Workgroup Vote

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

Vote on Workgroup Alternative Requests to become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications.

The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.

Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution would better facilitate the Grid Code objectives than the Original proposal then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative Grid Code modification (WAGCM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.

"Y" = Yes

"N" = No

"-" = Neutral (Stage 2 only)

"Abstain"



No Alternatives were raised for this modification.

To assess the Original and WAGCMs against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

"Y" = Yes

"N" = No

"-" = Neutral (Stage 2 only)

AGCO = Applicable Grid Code Objective

• • • • • • • • • •

<p>Voting Statement:</p> <p>The modification improves the clarity of the Grid Code and has a small positive benefit in relation to the Grid Code objectives.</p>
--

<p>Voting Statement:</p> <p>By aligning the Connection Condition requirements to remove inconsistencies and providing added clarity to the Grid Code, this modification should contribute positively to the applicable objectives, though noting that this will be to differing degrees.</p>
--

Voting Statement:

The modification aims to provide more clarity on Grid Code which I believe is beneficial for the users.

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AGCO (i)	Better facilitates AGCO (ii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iii)	Better facilitates AGCO (iv)	Better facilitates AGCO (v)	Overall (Y/N)
	Mohit Prajapati – EDF Renewables					
Original	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Voting Statement:						
We have better clarity on Grid Code changes, which has its own benefits.						

Of the 5 votes, 5 voters said the Original Solution was better than the Baseline.

Option	Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline
Original	5

Stage 2b – Workgroup Vote

Which option is the best? (Baseline or Proposer solution (Original Proposal))

Workgroup Member	Company	Industry Sector	BEST Option?	Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)
Antony Johnson	NESO	System Operator	Original Proposal	i, iii, iv
Alan Creighton	Northern Powergrid	Network Operator	Original Proposal	ii, iii, iv, v

Graeme Vincent	SP Energy Networks	Network Operator / Transmission Licensee	Original Proposal	ii, iii, iv, v
Lukasz Bochinski	UK Power Networks	Network Operator	Original Proposal	ii, iii, iv, v
Mohit Prajapati	EDF Renewables	Generator	Original Proposal	ii, iii, iv, v